UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 1:18-cv-00950-LO-JFA

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

PROPOSED ORDER

UPON CONSIDERATION of Defendants' Motion to Seal (ECF No. 459), pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Civil Rule 5, which seeks an order allowing Cox to maintain under seal a portion of Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Further Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 454) ("Summary Judgment Reply Memorandum"); certain exhibits to the Reply Declaration of Thomas Kearney in Further Support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment ("Kearney Reply Decl.") (ECF No. 454-2-3); portions of the Declaration of Nick Feamster in Support of Cox's Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment ("Feamster Reply Decl.") (ECF No. 454-1); portions of Cox's Memorandum of Law in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert William H. Lehr, Ph.D. ("Lehr Reply Memorandum") (ECF No. 452); certain exhibits to the Reply Declaration of Thomas M. Buchanan in Further Support of Cox's Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert William H. Lehr, Ph.D. ("Buchanan Lehr Reply Decl.") (ECF No. 452-1); portions of Cox's Memorandum of Law in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert George P. McCabe, Ph.D. ("McCabe Reply Memorandum") (ECF No. 449); certain exhibits to the Reply Declaration of Thomas M. Buchanan in Further Support of Cox's Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert George P. McCabe, Ph.D. (Buchanan McCabe Reply Decl.") (ECF No. 449-1-3); portions of Cox's Memorandum of Law in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert Terrence P. McGarty ("McGarty Reply Memorandum") (ECF No. 438); portions of Cox's Memorandum of Law in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Putative Expert Barbara Frederiksen-Cross ("Frederiksen-Cross Reply Memorandum") (ECF No. 440); and certain exhibits to the Reply Declaration of Cesie C. Alvarez in Further Support of its Motion to Exclude the

Testimony of Putative Expert Barbara Frederiksen-Cross (ECF No. 440-1-3) (Alvarez Frederiksentative Expert Barbara Frederiksen-Cross (ECF No. 440-1-3) (Alvaiez Frederiksen Docke TNO.

MID TAKING INITO CIUSIDER TIM PHINTIPS' MEMORADUM (Docke TNO.

1."), the Court Orders as follows:

467) IND No opposion BEN (180)

Cross Reply Decl."), the Court Orders as follows:

Title	Designating Party	Where Used	Granted
The deposition transcript of Barbara Frederiksen-Cross, taken on June 28, 2019	Plaintiffs	Exhibit E to the Alvarez Frederiksen- Cross Reply Decl.	Yes / No
Exhibit B to the Expert Report of Barbara Frederiksen- Cross	Plaintiffs	Exhibit F to the Alvarez Frederiksen- Cross Reply Decl.	Yes/ No
The deposition transcript of George P. McCabe, Ph.D., taken on June 20, 2019	Plaintiffs	Exhibit C to the Buchanan McCabe Reply Decl.	Yes/No
The September 27, 2019 hearing transcript before Mag. Judge Anderson	Party confidentiality designations pending	Exhibit G to the Alvarez Frederiksen- Cross Reply Exhibit 59 to the	Yes/No
		Kearney Reply Decl. Exhibit B to the Buchanan McCabe Reply Decl.	
The deposition transcript of Slawomir Paszkowski, taken on July 2, 2019	MarkMonitor	Exhibit A to the Alvarez Frederiksen- Cross Reply Decl.	Yes / No

		Exhibit 55 to the Kearney Reply Decl.
Supplemental/Rebuttal Report of Christian Tregillis, CPA, ABV, CFF, CLP, dated May 15, 2019	Cox	Exhibit A to the Buchanan McCabe Reply Decl.
Deposition transcript of Sidd Negretti, taken on June 14, 2019	Cox	Exhibit A to the Buchanan McCabe Reply Decl.

ENTERED this 24 day of October, 2019.

Alexandria, Virginia

John F. Anderson
United States Magistrate Judge

John F. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge

By granting this motion to seal, the undersigned is not making a determination that the information that is being allowed to be filed under seal pursuant to this motion may remain under seal and will not be disclosed to the public during the trial before the District Judge.